Long Memory and Volatility Clustering: is the empirical evidence consistent across stock markets?

Snia R. Bentes*, Rui Menezes**, Diana A. Mendes**

*Iscal, Av. Miguel Bombarda, 20, 1069-035 Lisboa Portugal, soniabentes@clix.pt; **ISCTE, Av. Forcas Armadas, 1649-025 Lisboa, Portugal

Abstract

Long memory and volatility clustering are two stylized facts frequently related to financial markets. Traditionally, these phenomena have been studied based on conditionally heteroscedastic models like ARCH, GARCH, IGARCH and FIGARCH, inter alia. One advantage of these models is their ability to capture nonlinear dynamics. Another interesting manner to study the volatility phenomena is by using measures based on the concept of entropy. In this paper we investigate the long memory and volatility clustering for the SP 500, NASDAQ 100 and Stoxx 50 indexes in order to compare the US and European Markets. Additionally, we compare the results from conditionally heteroscedastic models with those from the entropy measures. In the latter, we examine Shannon entropy, Renyi entropy and Tsallis entropy. The results corroborate the previous evidence of nonlinear dynamics in the time series considered.

Key words: Long memory, volatility clustering, ARCH type models, nonlinear dynamics, entropy

Introduction

The study of stock market volatility and the reasons that lie beyond price movements have always played a central role in financial theory, given rise to an intense debate in which long memory and volatility clustering have proven to be particularly significant. Since long memory reflects long run dependencies between stock market returns, and volatility clustering describes the tendency of large changes in asset prices to follow large changes and small changes to follow small changes, these concepts are interrelated and frequently studied in complementarity. Several models based on heteroscedastic conditionally variance have been proposed to capture their properties. This constitutes what

we consider the traditional approach. It includes the autoregressive conditionally heteroscedastic model (ARCH) proposed by Engle [1], the Generalized ARCH (GARCH) due to Bollerslev [2] and Taylor [3], the Integrated GARCH (IGARCH) derived by Engle and Bollerslev [4] and the Fractionally Integrated GARCH (FIGARCH) introduced by Baillie et al. [5]. These models account for nonlinear dynamics, which are shown by the seasonal or cyclical behavior of many stock market returns and constitute their main advantage. However, they are not fully satisfactory, especially when modeling volatility of intra-daily financial returns. For a comprehensive debate on this matter see Bordignon et al. [6].

In this paper we propose an alternative way to study stock market volatility based on econophysics models. The application of concepts of physics to explain economic phenomena is relatively recent and started when some regularities between economic/financial and physical data were found in a consistent way (see e.g [7] and [8]). In this sense, one concept of physics that can be helpful to measure the nonlinear volatility of stock markets is the concept of entropy. Regarding this, we discuss three different measures: Shannon entropy, Renyi entropy and Tsallis entropy, and compare the main results.

The plan for the remainder of the paper is as follows: Section 2 puts together the ARCH/GARCH type models and the entropy models. Next, in Section 3 we describe the empirical findings. Finally, Section 4 presents the conclusions.

1 Traditional Volatility Models versus Econophysics Models

According to the traditional approach the presence of conditionally heteroscedastic variance in stock market returns gives support to the use of ARCH/GARCH models when studying stock market volatility. Although there are other econometric models to seek for long memory and volatility clustering the most common ones are the GARCH (p,q) model and their derivations - IGARCH (p,q) and FIGARCH (p,d,q) - which are summarized below.

Consider a time series y_t with the associated error

$$e_t = y_t - E_{t-1}y_t, (1)$$

where E_{t-1} is the expectation operator conditioned on time t-1. A GARCH model where

$$e_t = z_t \sigma_t, \qquad z_t \sim N(0, 1)$$
 (2)

was developed such as

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha (L) \varepsilon_t^2 + \beta (L) \sigma_t^2, \tag{3}$$

where $\omega > 0$, and $\alpha(L)$ and $\beta(L)$ are polynomials in the lag operator $L(L^{i}x_{i} = x_{t-i})$ of order q and p, respectively. Expression (3) can be rewritten as the infinite-order ARCH (p) process,

$$\Phi(L) e_t^2 = \omega + [1 - \beta(L)] v_t, \tag{4}$$

where $v_t \equiv e_t^2 - \sigma_t^2$ and $\Phi(L) = [1 - \alpha(L) - \beta(L)]$. Even though this process is frequently used to describe volatility clustering, it shows some limitations when dealing with long memory since it assumes that shocks decay at a fast geometric rate allowing only for short term persistence. To overcome this drawback Engle and Bollerslev [4] developed the IGARCH specification given by

$$\Phi(L) (1 - L) e_t^2 = \omega + [1 - \beta(L)] v_t.$$
 (5)

Motivated by the presence of apparent long memory in the autocorrelations of squared or absolute returns of several financial assets, Baillie *et al.* [5] introduced the FIGARCH model defined as

$$\Phi(L) (1 - L)^{d} e_{t}^{2} = \omega + [1 - \beta(L)] v_{t}, \qquad (6)$$

where $0 \le d \le 1$ is the fractional difference parameter. An interesting feature of this model is that it nests both the GARCH model for d=0 and IGARCH for d=1. Alternatively, for 0 < d < 1 the FIGARCH model implies a long memory behavior, *i.e.*, a slow decay of the impact of a volatility shock. Also, we shall note that this type of processes is not covariance stationary but instead strictly stationary and ergodic for $d \in [0,1]$.

An alternative way to study stock market volatility is by applying concepts of physics which significant literature has already proven to be helpful in describing financial or economic problems. One measure that can be applied to describe the nonlinear dynamics of long memory and volatility clustering is the concept of entropy. This concept was originally introduced in 1865 by Clausius to explain the tendency of temperature, pressure, density and chemical gradients to flatten out and gradually disappear over time. Based on this Clausius developed the Second Law of Thermodynamics which postulates that the entropy of an isolated system tends to increase continuously until it reaches its equilibrium state. Although there are many different understandings of this concept the most commonly used in literature is as a measure of ignorance, disorder, uncertainty or even lack of information (see [9]). Later, in a subsequent investigation Shannon [10] provided a new insight on this matter showing that entropy wasn't only restricted to thermodynamics but could be applied in any

context where probabilities can be defined. In fact, thermodynamic entropy can be viewed as a special case of the Shannon entropy since it measures probabilities in the full state space. Based on the Hartley's [11] formula, Shannon derived his entropy measure and established the foundations of information theory.

For the probability distribution $p_i \equiv p(X = i)$, (i = 1, ..., n) of a given random variable X, Shannon entropy S(X) for the discrete case, can be defined as

$$S(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i, \tag{7}$$

with the conventions $0 \ln (0/z) = 0$ for $z \ge 0$ and $z \ln (z/0) = \infty$.

As a measure of uncertainty the properties of entropy are well established in literature (see [12]). For the non-trivial case where the probability of an event is less than one, the logarithm is negative and the entropy has a positive sign. If the system only generates one event, there is no uncertainty and the entropy is equal to zero. By the same token, as the number of likely events duplicates the entropy increases one unit. Similarly, it attains its maximum value when all likely events have the same probability of occurrence. On the other hand, the entropy of a continuous random variable may be negative. The scale of measurements sets an arbitrary zero corresponding to a uniform distribution over a unit volume. A distribution which is more confined than this has less entropy and will be negative.

By replacing linear averaging in Shannon entropy with the Kolmogorov-Nagumo average or quasi-linear mean and further imposing the additivity constraints, Renyi [13] proposed the first formal generalization of the Shannon entropy. The need for a new information measure was due to the fact that there were a number of situations that couldn't be explained by Shannon entropy. As Jizba and Arimitsu [14] pointed out Shannon's information measure represents mere idealized information appearing only in situations when the storage capacity of a transmitting channel is finite.

Using this formalism Renyi [13] developed his information measure, known as Renyi entropy or Renyi information measure of α order, $S_{\alpha}(X)$. For discrete variables it comes

$$S_{\alpha}(X) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_k^{\alpha} \right), \tag{8}$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$. In the limit $\alpha \to 1$, Renyi entropy reduces to Shannon entropy and can be viewed as a special case of the latter. Additionally, evidence was found that Renyi's entropies of order greater than 2 are related to search problems (see for example [15]). Even though this measure can be used in a

variety of problems, empirical evidence has shown that it has a built-in predisposition to account for self-similar systems and, so, it naturally aspires to be an effective tool to describe equilibrium and non-equilibrium phase transitions (see [16] and [14]). Despite its relevance, Renyi entropy didn't experience the same success of its predecessor's which can be explained basically by two factors: ambiguous renormalization of Renyi's entropy for non-discrete distributions and little insight into the meaning of Renyi's α index (see [16]). A new insight into this matter was brought by Csiszár [17], who has identified the α index as the β cutoff rate for hypothesis testing problems.

With the aim of studying physical systems that entail long-range interaction, long-term memories and multi-fractal structures, Tsallis [18] derived a new generalized form of entropy, known as Tsallis entropy. Although this measure was first introduced by Havrda and Charvát [19] in cybernetics and late improved by Daróczy [20], it was Tsallis [18] who really developed it in the context of physical statistics and, therefore, it is also known as Havrda-Charvát-Daróczy-Tsallis entropy.

For any nonnegative real number q and considering the probability distribution $p_i \equiv p \ (X = i), \ i = 1, ..., n$ of a given random variable X, Tsallis entropy denoted by $S_q(X)$, is defined as

$$S_q(X) = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^q}{q - 1}.$$
 (9)

As $q \to 1$, S_q recovers $S_q(X)$ because the q-logarithm uniformly converges to a natural logarithm as $q \to 1$. This index may be thought as a biasing parameter since q < 1 privileges rare events and q > 1 privileges common events (see [21]). A concrete consequence of this is that while Shannon entropy yields exponential equilibrium distributions, Tsallis entropy yields power-law distributions. As Tatsuaki and Takeshi [22] have already pointed out the index q plays a similar role as the light velocity c in special relativity or Planck's constant \hbar in quantum mechanics in the sense of a one-parameter extension of classical mechanics, but unlike c or \hbar , q does not seem to be a universal constant. Further, we shall also mention that for applications of finite variance q must lie within the range $1 \le q < 5/3$.

2 Empirical evidence

This section examines the results obtained from both perspectives. In order to compare the volatility of the US and European stock market returns we have collected data from SP 500, NASDAQ 100 and Stoxx 50 indexes and

constituted a sample spanning over the period June 2002-January 2007. The values were gathered on a daily basis without considering the re-investment of dividends. Based on them, we computed the stock market returns given by the log-ratio of the index values at time t and time t-1 and performed the estimates.

Within the traditional approach we have considered the GARCH (1,1), IGARCH (1,1) and FIGARCH (1,d,1) specifications, whose main results are listed in Table 1. The conclusions are similar to all the three indexes considered. Specifically, for the GARCH (1,1) it was found evidence of heteroscedastic conditional variance. Also, the fact that $\alpha + \beta \simeq 1$ could denounce the presence of nonlinear persistence in the log-returns of the stock market indexes led us to estimate the IGARCH (1,1) model. However, evidence has shown that many coefficients were not statistically significant. Then, the next step was to adjust the FIGARCH specification (1,d,1) with the restriction $d \neq 1$ whose main results corroborate the long memory hypothesis.

In the domain of the econophysics approach we have computed the Shannon, Renyi and Tsallis entropies which are depicted in Table 2.

All entropies were estimated with histograms based on equidistant cells. For the calculation of Tsallis entropy we set values at 1.4, 1.45 and 1.5 for the index q, which is consistent with the finding that when considering financial data their values lie within the range $q \simeq 1.4 - 1.5$ (see [21]). The same assumption was made for the Renyi's index. Since all entropies are positive we shall conclude that the data show nonlinearities. This phenomenon is particularly evident for the SP 500 index, which always attained the highest levels regardless of the method applied in its calculation. As for the others the results are not conclusive since they vary according to the entropy method adopted.

3 Conclusions

In this paper we have investigated the properties of the realized volatility for the SP 500, NASDAQ 100 and Stoxx 50 indexes. Our main goal was to compare two different perspectives: the so-called traditional approach in which we have considered the GARCH (1,1), IGARCH (1,1) and FIGARCH (1,d,1) specifications and the econophysics approach based on the concept of entropy. For our purpose three variants of this notion were chosen: the Shannon, Renyi and Tsallis measures. The results from both perspectives have shown nonlinear dynamics in the volatility of SP 500, NASDAQ 100 and Stoxx 50 indexes and must be understood in complementarity.

Coef.	Indexes	GARCH	IGARCH	FIGARCH
ω	Stoxx 50	1.12E - 06*	0.014544	6.573658**
	SP 500	3.19E - 07**	0.003906*	0.009144
	NASDAQ 100	5.74e - 07*	0.004543	8.749987**
α	Stoxx 50	0.076581**	0.104788**	-0.119727**
	SP 500	0.051592**	0.057835**	-0.118990
	NASDAQ 100	0.040982**	0.044868**	-0.037189**
β	Stoxx 50	0.913627**	0.895212	0.643566**
	SP 500	0.946445**	0.942165	0.514637*
	NASDAQ 100	0.957592**	0.955132	0.700161**
d	Stoxx 50	-	-	0.695285**
	SP 500	-	-	0.579649**
	NASDAQ 100	-	-	0.655988**
Student	Stoxx 50	10.15165**	25.044983	21.850493*
	SP 500	6.152842**	5.374068**	1219.021742**
	NASDAQ 100	11.42494**	11.030880**	335.539275**
Log-L	Stoxx 50	3812.074	1290.958	1296.348
	SP 500	13891.33	13039.1	1482.22
	NASDAQ 100	10775.58	10024.569	1248.274

Table 1

GARCH, IGARCH and FIGARCH models for Stoxx 50, SP 500 and NASDAQ 100 indexes; ** denotes significance at the 1% level, * denotes significance at the 5% level

We consider that the concept of entropy can be of great help when analyzing stock market returns since it can capture the uncertainty and disorder of the time series without imposing any constraints on the theoretical probability distribution. By contrast, the ARCH/GARCH type models assume that all variables are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d). However, in order to capture global serial dependence one should use a specific measure such as, for example, mutual information. By analyzing the entropy values for different equally spaced sub-periods we could have a clearer idea about the extent of volatility clustering and long-memory effects, an issue that will be pursued in further work.

Entropies	Index (α/q)	Stoxx	SP 500	NASDAQ 100
Shannon	-	3.3624	3.3784	3.2981
	1.4	10.2076	10.217	10.2085
Renyi	1.45	10.2065	10.2163	10.2074
	1.5	10.2054	10.2155	10.2064
	1.4	1.8354	1.8395	1.8102
Tsallis	1.45	1.7204	1.7238	1.6981
	1.5	1.6161	1.619	1.5965

Table 2

Shannon, Renyi and Tsallis entropies

References

- [1] R. Engle, Econometrica 50 (1982) 987-1008.
- [2] T. Bollerslev, Journal of Econometrics 31 (1986) 307-327.
- [3] S.J. Taylor, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester (1986).
- [4] R. Engle, T.P. Bollerslev, Econometric Reviews 5 (1986) 1-50.
- [5] R.T. Baillie, Bollerslev, T., Mikkelsen, H. Journal of Econometrics 74 (1996) 3-30.
- [6] S. Bordignon, M. Caporin, F. Lisi, Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 51 (2007) 5900-5912.
- [7] H.E. Stanley, L.A.N Amaral, D. Canning, P. Gopikrishnan, Y. Lee and Y. Liu, Physica A 269 (1999) 156-159.
- [8] V. Plerou, P. Gopikrishnan, B. Rosenow. L.A.N Amaral, H.E. Stanley, Physica A 279 (2000) 443-456.
- [9] A. Golan, Journal of Econometrics 107 (2002) 1-15.
- [10] C.E. Shannon, The Bell System Technical Journal 27 (1948) 379-423, 623-656.
- [11] R.V.L. Hartley, Bell System Technical Journal 7 3 (1928) 535-563.
- [12] C.E. Shannon, W. Weaver, The University of Illinois Press, Urbana (1964).
- [13] A. Renyi, Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematics, statistics and Probability 1 (1961) 547-561.
- [14] T. Jizba, T. Arimitsu, Physica A 340 (2004b) 110-116.
- [15] C.E. Pfister., W.G. Sullivan, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 50 (11) (2004) 2794-2800.

- [16] T. Jizba, T. Arimitsu, Annals of Physics 312 (2004a) 17-59.
- [17] Csiszár, I., IEEE Transaction of Information Theory 41 (1995) 26-34.
- [18] C. Tsallis, Journal of Statistical Physics 1/2 (1988) 479-487.
- [19] J. Havdra, F. Chárvat, Kybernetica 3 (1967) 50-57.
- [20] DZ. Daróczy, Information and Control 16 (1970) 36-51
- [21] C. Tsallis, C. Anteneodo, L. Borland, R. Osorio, Physica A 324 (2003) 89-100.
- [22] W. Tatsuaki, S. Takeshi, Physica A 301 (2001) 284-290.



